arXiv:1410.1252v2 [math.NT] 31 Oct 2014

POLYNOMIAL VALUES IN AFFINE SUBSPACES OF FINITE FIELDS

ALINA OSTAFE

Abstract. In this paper we introduce a new approach and obtain new results for the problem of studying polynomial images of affine subspaces of finite fields. We improve and generalise several previous known results, and also extend the range of such results to polynomials of degrees higher than the characteristic of the field. Such results have a wide scope of applications similar to those associated with their counterparts studying consecutive intervals over prime fields instead of affine subspaces. Here we give only two immediate consequences: to a bound on the size of the intersection of orbits of polynomial dynamical systems with affine subspaces and to the Waring problem in affine subspaces. These results are based on estimates for a certain new type of exponential sums.

1. Introduction

Motivation. Given a polynomial f over a field $\mathbb{F}$ and two "interesting" finite sets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ it is natural to ask about the size of the intersection

$$f(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{B} = \#\{f(a) \ : \ a \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } f(a) \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

and in particular improve the trivial bound $\min\{\#\mathcal{A}, \#\mathcal{B}\}$ on the size of this intersection. In particular, for the case of prime finite fields $\mathbb{F}_p$ of p elements with $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ chosen as intervals of consecutive elements (in a natural ordering of elements of $\mathbb{F}_p$) a series of such results have been obtained in [7, 8, 9] where also a broad variety of application has been given. For example, one of motivating applications for these results comes from the study of the number of points in polynomial orbits that fall in a given interval, see [5, 6, 9, 18].

Here we mostly concentrate on the case of finite fields that are high degree extensions of prime fields. Furthermore, our sets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ are affine subspaces which are natural analogues of intervals in these settings.

Date: November 3, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11T06, 11T23, 37P05, 37P55.

Key words and phrases. finite fields, exponential sums, polynomial dynamics, Waring problem.

More precisely, for a prime power q and an integer $r > 1$ we denote by $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ the finite fields of q and q^r elements, respectively, and consider affine subspaces of $\mathbb{L}$ over $\mathbb{K}$. We are especially interested in the case when the dimension s of these spaces is small compared to r and thus standard approaches via algebraic geometry methods (such as the Weil bound) do not apply.

We note that a similar point of view has recently been accepted by Cilleruelo and Shparlinski [10] and by Roche-Newton and Shparlinski [22] who obtained several results in this direction via the methods of additive combinatorics. In fact, the results and method of [10] apply only to a very special class of affine spaces, while [22] addresses the case of arbitrary affine spaces. Here, using a different approach, we improve some of the results of [22] and also obtain a series of other results. In particular, we obtain some nontrivial results for a class of polynomials of degree $d \geq p$, where p is the characteristic of $\mathbb{L}$, while for the inductive method of [22] the condition $d < p$ seems to be unavoidable.

More precisely, our approach appeals to the recent bounds of Bourgain and Glibichuk [4] of multilinear exponential sums in arbitrary finite fields which we couple with the classical van der Corput differencing. We use this combination to estimate exponential sums with polynomials of degree d along affine spaces.

We remark that under some natural conditions the dimension s of these spaces can be as low as r/d by the order of magnitude. This corresponds exactly to the lowest possible length of intervals over $\mathbb{F}_p$ for which one can estimate nontrivially the corresponding exponential sums via Vinogradov's method, see the recent striking results of Wooley [26, 27, 28].

As in the previous works in this direction, we also give some applications of our results.

Namely, we study the intersection of orbits of polynomial dynamical systems and affine spaces and improve and complement some results of Roche-Newton and Shparlinski [22]; both are analogues of those of [5, 6, 9, 18]. We also recall that this question has been introduced by Silverman and Viray [23] in characteristic zero and then studied using a very different technique.

Finally, we also consider the Waring problem in subspaces.

We now outline in more detail our main results, that are given in Theorems 10, 20 and 22 below.

Exponential sums over affine subspaces and polynomial values in affine subspaces. Our first motivation is to estimate the number of elements u in an affine subspace $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathbb{L}$, that is, a translate of a

linear subspace of $\mathbb{L}$, such that $f(u)$ falls also in an affine subspace $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathbb{L}$. We denote this number by $\mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$, that is, for a nonlinear polynomial $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$,

$$\mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \#\{u \in \mathcal{A} \mid f(u) \in \mathcal{B}\}. \tag{1}$$

The basic tool in obtaining estimates for $\mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is using a recent estimate of Bourgain and Glibichuk [4, Theorem 4] on multilinear exponential sums over subsets of $\mathbb{L}$, see Lemma 8 below. To arrive to using this result, we apply the classical van der Corput differencing method for our exponential sum to reduce the degree of the polynomial f, see also [3, Theorem C]. However, this method was applied so far only with polynomials of degree less than p.

Let ψ be an additive character of $\mathbb{L}$ and $\chi : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{C}$ a function satisfying $\chi(x+y) = \chi(x)\chi(y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{L}$. The first main result of this paper is obtaining, under certain conditions, estimates for exponential sums over affine subspaces $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathbb{L}$ of the type

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{A}} \chi(x)\psi(f(x)).$$

What is new about this result is that it applies to several classes of polynomials of degree larger than p or a multiple of p, see Theorem 10, in contrast to previous results that apply only to polynomial of degree less than p.

To estimate $\mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$, we first use the classical Weil bound to estimate exponential sums, but the bound we obtain is nontrivial only for $s > r(1/2 + \varepsilon)$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and it also applies only for polynomials of degree less than p. However, applying Theorem 10 we obtain nontrivial estimates for any $s \geq \varepsilon r$, and moreover for more general polynomials of degree larger or equal to p, see Theorem 16.

The bound of Theorem 16 improves the very recent estimate (17) obtained in [22] for $s < 2.5\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^d r\varepsilon$. Moreover, Theorem 16 generalises the result of [22] as this holds only for polynomials of degree $d < p$.

We also conclude from Theorem 16 that, under certain conditions, $f(\mathcal{A})$ is not included in any proper affine subspace $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathbb{L}$, see Corollary 17.

Polynomial orbits in affine subspaces. Given a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ and an element $u \in \mathbb{L}$, we define the orbit

$$\mathrm{Orb}_f(u) = \{f^{(n)}(u) \ : \ n = 0, 1, \ldots\}, \tag{2}$$

where $f^{(n)}$ is the nth iterate of f, that is,

$$f^{(0)} = X, \quad f^{(n)} = f(f^{(n-1)}), \quad n \geq 1.$$

As the orbit (2) is a subset of $\mathbb{L}$, and thus a finite set, we denote by $T_{f,u} = \#\mathrm{Orb}_f(u)$ to be the size of the orbit.

Here we study the frequency of orbit elements that fall in an affine subspace of $\mathbb{L}$ considered as a linear vector space over $\mathbb{K}$. This question is motivated by a recent work of Silverman and Viray [23] (in characteristic zero and using a very different technique). Recently, several results have been obtained in [22] using additive combinatorics. Here we improve on several results of [22] and we also extend the class of polynomials to which these results apply, see Corollary 18.

We also note that the argument of the proof of [22, Theorem 6] can give information about the frequency of (not necessarily consecutive) iterates falling in a subspace. We present such a result in Theorem 20, as well as apply it to obtain information about intersection of orbits of linearised polynomials in Corollary 21.

Exponential sums over consecutive integers and the Waring problem. For a positive integer $n \le p^r - 1$, we consider the p-adic representation

$$n = n_0 + n_1 p + \ldots + n_{s-1} p^{s-1}$$

for some $s \le r$. Let $1 \le N \le p^r - 1$ and let $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ be a polynomial of degree d. Furthermore, let ψ be an additive character of $\mathbb{L}$ and let $\chi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ a p-multiplicative function, see Section 6 for a definition. Another main result of this paper is to estimate, using Theorem 10, under certain conditions, the twisted exponential sum

$$S(N) = \sum_{n \le N} \chi(n)\psi(f(\xi_n)),$$

where $\omega_0, \ldots, \omega_{r-1}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{L}$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ and

$$\xi_n = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} n_i \omega_i,$$

which we hope to be of independent interest. We present such a result in Theorem 22 using the class of p-multiplicative functions

$$\chi(n) = \exp\left(2\pi i \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \alpha_j n_j\right),$$

where α_j, $j = 0, 1, \ldots$, is a fixed infinite sequence of real numbers.

Let $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ be a polynomial of degree d. As another direct consequence of Theorems 10 we prove the existence of a positive integer k such that for any $y \in \mathbb{L}$, the equation

$$f(\xi_{n_1}) + \ldots + f(\xi_{n_k}) = y$$

is solvable in positive integers $n_1, \ldots, n_k \le N$. We do this first for the case $N = q^s - 1$ in Theorem 24, and conclude then Corollary 25 for the case $q^{s-1} \le N < q^s$. Recently, quite substantial progress has been achieved in the classical Waring problem in finite fields, see [11, 12, 13, 25].

We conclude the paper with some remarks and possible extensions of our results, as well as some connections to constructing affine dispersers.

2. Consecutive differences of polynomials

For our main results we need a few auxiliary results regarding consecutive differences of polynomials. For a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ of degree $1 \le d < p$ with leading coefficient a_d, we define

$$\Delta_{X_1,X_2}(f) = f(X_1 + X_2) - f(X_2) = X_1 f(X_1, X_2),$$

for some polynomial $f(X_1, X_2) \in \mathbb{L}[X_1, X_2]$ of degree

$$\deg_{X_2} f(X_1, X_2) = d - 1$$

with leading coefficient da_d. Inductively, we define

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_k}(f) \\ &\quad = f(X_1, \ldots, X_{k-2}, X_{k-1} + X_k) - f(X_1, \ldots, X_{k-2}, X_k) \\ &\quad = X_{k-1} f(X_1, \ldots, X_k), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

for some polynomial $f(X_1, \ldots, X_k) \in \mathbb{L}[X_1, \ldots, X_k]$ of degree

$$\deg_{X_k} f = d - (k-1)$$

and leading coefficient with respect to X_k, $d(d-1)\ldots(d-(k-2))a_d$. We also have the following relation

$$\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_k}(f) = \frac{1}{X_{k-2}} \left(\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},X_{k-1}+X_k}(f) - \Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},X_k}(f) \right). \tag{4}$$

We now give more details in the following straightforward statement which is well-known but is not readily available in the literature.

Lemma 1. *Let $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ be a polynomial of degree $d < p$ and leading coefficient $a_d \in \mathbb{L}^*$. Then*

$$\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_k}(f) = X_{k-1} f(X_1, \ldots, X_k)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &f(X_1, \ldots, X_k) \\ &\quad = d(d-1)\ldots(d-k+2) a_d X_k^{d-k+1} + \widetilde{f}(X_1, \ldots, X_k), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

for some polynomial $\widetilde{f}(X_1, \ldots, X_k) \in \mathbb{L}[X_1, \ldots, X_k]$ *of degrees*

$$\deg_{X_i} \widetilde{f} \le d-k+1, \ i=1,\ldots,k-1, \ \deg_{X_k} \widetilde{f} \le d-k.$$

Proof. The result follows by induction over k. Easy computations prove the statement for $k=2$. We assume it is true for $k-1$ and we prove the statement for k. Using the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_k}(f)\\
&\quad = f(X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},X_{k-1}+X_k) - f(X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},X_k)\\
&\quad = d(d-1)\ldots(d-k+3)a_d(X_{k-1}+X_k)^{d-k+2}\\
&\qquad + \widetilde{f}(X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},X_{k-1}+X_k)\\
&\qquad\quad - d(d-1)\ldots(d-k+3)a_dX_k^{d-k+2}\\
&\qquad\quad - \widetilde{f}(X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},X_k),
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\widetilde{f}(X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},Y) \in \mathbb{L}[X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},Y]$ is a polynomial of degrees

$$\deg_{X_i} \widetilde{f}(X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},Y) \le d-k+2, \quad i=1,\ldots,k-2,$$

and

$$\deg_{Y} \widetilde{f}(X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},Y) \le d-k+1.$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{f}(X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},X_{k-1}+X_k) &= X_{k-1}h(X_1,\ldots,X_k)\\
&\quad + \widetilde{f}(X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},X_k)
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $h(X_1,\ldots,X_k) \in \mathbb{L}[X_1,\ldots,X_k]$, and taking into account the degrees above, we get

$$\deg_{X_i} h(X_1,\ldots,X_k) \le d-k+1, \quad i=1,\ldots,k-2,$$

and

$$\deg_{X_i} h(X_1,\ldots,X_k) \le d-k, \quad i=k-1,k.$$

Taking into account (6) and (7), and using the binomial expansion of $(X_{k-1}+X_k)^{d-k+2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_k}(f) = X_{k-1}(&d(d-1)\ldots(d-k+3)(d-k+2)a_dX_k^{d-k+1}\\
&+ \widetilde{f}(X_1,\ldots,X_k)),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{f}(X_1,\ldots,X_k) \in \mathbb{L}[X_1,\ldots,X_k]$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{f}(X_1,\ldots,X_k) &= h(X_1,\ldots,X_k)\\
&\quad + \frac{(X_{k-1}+X_k)^{d-k+2} - X_k^{d-k+2} - (d-k+2)X_{k-1}X_k^{d-k+1}}{X_{k-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

and thus satisfy the conditions

$$\deg_{X_i} \widetilde{f} \le d-k+1, \quad i=1,\ldots,k-1, \quad \deg_{X_k} \widetilde{f} \le d-k.$$

We thus conclude the inductive step. □

If we take $k=d$ in Lemma 1, and then two more consecutive differences, that is, $k=d+1$ and $k=d+2$, we obtain the following consequence.

Corollary 2. *We have*

$$\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_d}(f) = X_{d-1}\left(d!a_dX_d + \widetilde{f}(X_1,\ldots,X_{d-1})\right),$$

where $\widetilde{f}(X_1,\ldots,X_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{L}[X_1,\ldots,X_{d-1}]$ *is of degrees*

$$\deg_{X_i} \widetilde{f}(X_1,\ldots,X_{d-1}) \le 1, \qquad i=1,\ldots,d-1,$$

and thus

$$\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_d,X_{d+1}}(f) = d!a_dX_d, \ \Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_{d+1},X_{d+2}}(f) = 0.$$

Lemma 3. *Let* $\nu \ge 1$ *and* $f = X^{p^\nu}g \in \mathbb{L}[X]$, *where* $g \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ *is of degree* $d < p$. *Then*

$$\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_{d+3}}(f) = 0.$$

Proof. We prove by induction over $k \ge 2$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_k}(f) &= X_{k-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} X_j^{p^\nu}\right) g(X_1,\ldots,X_k) \\ &\quad + X_{k-1}\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} X_j^{p^\nu-1} g(X_1,\ldots,X_{j-1},X_{j+1},\ldots,X_{k-1},X_k),\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $g(X_1,\ldots,X_k)$ and $g(X_1,\ldots,X_{j-1},X_{j+1},\ldots,X_{k-1},X_k)$ are defined by (3) and (5).

For $k=2$, the computations follow exactly as for the general case, so to avoid repetition we prove only the induction step from $k-1$ to

k. Using (3), (4) and the induction step, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_k}(f) &= f(X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},X_{k-1}+X_k) - f(X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},X_k)\\ &= \frac{1}{X_{k-2}}\left(\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},X_{k-1}+X_k}(f) - \Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},X_k}(f)\right)\\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} X_j^{p^\nu} + X_k^{p^\nu}\right) g(X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},X_{k-1}+X_k)\\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} X_j^{p^\nu-1} g(X_1,\ldots,X_{j-1},X_{j+1},\ldots,X_{k-2},X_{k-1}+X_k)\\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-2} X_j^{p^\nu} + X_k^{p^\nu}\right) g(X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},X_k)\\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} X_j^{p^\nu-1} g(X_1,\ldots,X_{j-1},X_{j+1},\ldots,X_{k-2},X_k).\end{aligned}$$

Writing now, as in Lemma 1 (applied to g in place of f),

$$\begin{aligned}&g(X_1,\ldots,X_{j-1},X_{j+1},\ldots,X_{k-2},X_{k-1}+X_k)\\ &\qquad = X_{k-1} g(X_1,\ldots,X_{j-1},X_{j+1},\ldots,X_k)\\ &\qquad\qquad + g(X_1,\ldots,X_{j-1},X_{j+1},\ldots,X_{k-2},X_k),\end{aligned}$$

and the same for $g(X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},X_{k-1}+X_k)$, and making simple computations, we get the equation (8). In fact, using Lemma 1, and the fact that, by (3) we have

$$\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_k}(g) = X_{k-1} g(X_1,\ldots,X_k)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_{j-1},X_{j+1},\ldots,X_{k-1},X_k}(g)\\ &\qquad\qquad = X_{k-1} g(X_1,\ldots,X_{j-1},X_{j+1},\ldots,X_{k-1},X_k),\end{aligned}$$

one can rewrite the equation (8) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_k}(f) &= \Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_k}(g)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} X_j^{p^\nu}\right)\\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} X_j^{p^\nu-1}\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_{j-1},X_{j+1},\ldots,X_{k-1},X_k}(g)\\ &\quad + X_{k-1}^{p^\nu} g(X_1,\ldots,X_{k-2},X_k).\end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 2 we have

$$\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_{d+1},X_{d+2}}(g)=0, \qquad \Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_{j-1},X_{j+1},\ldots,X_{d+2},X_{d+3}}(g)=0,$$

and similarly $\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_{d+1},X_{d+3}}(g)=0$, and thus,

$$g(X_1,\ldots,X_{d+1},X_{d+3})=0,$$

and thus we conclude the proof. □

As a direct application of Lemma 3, we obtain the following more general result.

Corollary 4. *Let*

$$f=X^{p^\nu}g_\nu+\ldots+X^pg_1+g_0,\ g_i\in\mathbb{L}[X],\ i=0\ldots,\nu,$$

with

$$\deg g_i+3\le\deg g_0=d<p,\ i=1\ldots,\nu,$$

and with g_0 having leading coefficient a_d. Then,

$$\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_d}(f)=X_{d-1}\left(d!a_dX_d+\widetilde{f}(X_1,\ldots,X_{d-1})\right),$$

where $\widetilde{f}(X_1,\ldots,X_{d-1})\in\mathbb{L}[X_1,\ldots,X_{d-1}]$ is of degrees

$$\deg_{X_i}\widetilde{f}(X_1,\ldots,X_{d-1})\le 1,$$

$i=1,\ldots,d-1$.

Proof. The proof follows directly from Lemma 3. Indeed, we have

$$\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_k}(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{\nu}\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_k}\left(X^{p^i}g_i\right)+\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_k}(g_0).$$

As $d\ge\deg g_i+3$, from Lemma 3 we obtain

$$\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_d}\left(X^{p^i}g_i\right)=0,$$

and thus,

$$\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_d}(f)=\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_d}(g_0).$$

Applying now Corollary 2, we conclude the proof. □

Remark 5. *We note that when g_i, $i=1,\ldots,\nu$, are constant polynomials in Corollary 4, we are in the case*

$$f=c_\nu X^{p^\nu}+\ldots+c_1X^p+g_0,\ g_i\in\mathbb{L}[X],\ c_i\in\mathbb{L},\ i=1\ldots,\nu,$$

with

$$3\le\deg g_0=d<p.$$

Then, we need to take only two differences to eliminate the power of p monomials, that is, we have

$$\Delta_{X_1,X_2,X_3}=X_2g_0(X_1,X_2,X_3),$$

where $g_0(X_1, X_2, X_3) \in \mathbb{L}[X_1, X_2, X_3]$ *with* $\deg_{X_3} g_0(X_1, X_2, X_3) = d - 2$.

Lemma 6. *Let*

$$f = X^{p^\nu + p^{\nu-1} + \ldots + p + 1} + g \in \mathbb{L}[X],$$

where $g \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ *is a polynomial of degree* $4 \le d < p$ *with leading coefficient* a_d. *Then*

$$\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_d}(f) = X_{d-1} \left(d! a_d X_d + \widetilde{f}(X_1, \ldots, X_{d-1}) \right),$$

where $\widetilde{f}(X_1, \ldots, X_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{L}[X_1, \ldots, X_{d-1}]$ *is of degrees*

$$\deg_{X_i} \widetilde{f}(X_1, \ldots, X_{d-1}) \le 1,$$

$i = 1, \ldots, d-1$.

Proof. The case $\nu = 1$ is a special case of Corollary 4. The proof for $\nu > 1$ follows also the same as the proof of Lemma 3, only that one needs to take three differences to eliminate all powers of p in the degree and get a polynomial of degree less then p. Indeed, we denote the monomial

$$m = X^{p^\nu + p^{\nu-1} + \ldots + p + 1}.$$

Simple computations show that, as in Lemma 3, we have

$$\Delta_{X_1,X_2}(m) = X_1 m(X_1, X_2),$$

where

$$m(X_1, X_2) = X_1^{p^{\nu+\ldots+p}} + X_2^{p^{\nu+\ldots+p}} + X_2 X_1^{p^{\nu+\ldots+p}-1}.$$

Next, we have

$$\Delta_{X_1,X_2,X_3}(m) = X_2 m(X_1, X_2, X_3),$$

where

$$m(X_1, X_2, X_3) = X_1^{p^{\nu+\ldots+p}-1} + X_2^{p^{\nu+\ldots+p}-1}.$$

At the next step we already get

$$\Delta_{X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4}(m) = 0.$$

Thus, as $d \ge 4$,we have

$$\Delta_{X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4}(f) = \Delta_{X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4}(g), \ \deg_{X_4} \Delta_{X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4}(g) = d - 3 \ge 1,$$

and the result follows by applying Lemma 1. □

Lemma 7. *Let $f = g(l(x)) \in \mathbb{L}[X]$, where $g \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ is a polynomial of degree $d < p$ with leading coefficient a_d, and*

$$l = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} b_i X^{p^i} \in \mathbb{L}[X],\ p^\nu < q^r, \tag{9}$$

is a p-polynomial polynomial. Then

$$\Delta_{X_1,\ldots,X_d}(f) = l(X_{d-1}) \left(d! a_d l(X_d) + \widetilde{f}\left(l(X_1), \ldots, l(X_{d-1})\right)\right),$$

where $\widetilde{f}(X_1, \ldots, X_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{L}[X_1, \ldots, X_{d-1}]$ is of degrees

$$\deg_{X_i} \widetilde{f}(X_1, \ldots, X_{d-1}) \le 1,$$

$i = 1, \ldots, d-1$.

Proof. As the polynomial l is additive, that is $l(X_1 + X_2) = l(X_1) + l(X_2)$, then the proof follows exactly as the proof of Lemma 3 and Lemma 6. □

3. Exponential sums over subspaces

First we introduce the following:

Definition 1. *For $0 < \eta \le 1$, we define a subset $A \subset \mathbb{L}$ to be η-good if*

$$\#\left(A \cap b\mathbb{F}\right) \le (\#A)^{1-\eta}$$

for any element b and a proper subfield $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{L}$.

The main result of this section follows from the following estimate due to Bourgain and Glibichuk [4, Theorem 4] which applies to η-good sets.

For $0 < \eta \le 1$, we define

$$\gamma_\eta = \min\left(\frac{1}{156450}, \frac{\eta}{120}\right). \tag{10}$$

Also, all over the paper ψ represents an additive character of $\mathbb{L}$.

Lemma 8. *Let $3 \le n \le 0.9 \log_2(r \log_2 q)$ and $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n \subseteq \mathbb{L}^*$. Let $0 < \eta \le 1$ and γ_η be defined by (10). Suppose $\#A_i \ge 3$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, and that for every $j = 3, 4, \ldots, n$ the sets A_j are η-good. Assume further that*

$$\#A_1 \#A_2 \left(\#A_3 \cdots \#A_n\right)^{\gamma_\eta} > q^{r(1+\varepsilon)}$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, for sufficiently large q, we have the estimate

$$\left| \sum_{a_1 \in A_1} \sum_{a_2 \in A_2} \cdots \sum_{a_n \in A_n} \psi(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n) \right| < 100 \#A_1 \#A_2 \cdots \#A_n q^{-0.45 r\varepsilon / 2^n}.$$

For our results we need an estimate for slightly different (and possibly larger) sums

$$\sum_{a_2 \in A_2} \cdots \sum_{a_n \in A_n} \left| \sum_{a_1 \in A_1} \psi(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n) \right|,$$

which we derive directly from Lemma 8. We record this estimate for more general weighted sums, which may be of independent interest for some future applications.

Corollary 9. *Let $4 \le n \le 0.9 \log_2(r \log_2 q) + 1$ and $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n \subseteq \mathbb{L}^*$. Let $0 < \eta \le 1$ and γ_η be defined by (10). Suppose $\#A_i \ge 3$, $i = 2, \ldots, n$, and that for every $j = 4, \ldots, n$ the sets A_j are η-good. Assume further that*

$$\#A_2 \#A_3 \left(\#A_4 \cdots \#A_n\right)^{\gamma_\eta} > q^{r(1+\varepsilon)} \tag{11}$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Let the weights $w_i : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{C}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, be such that

$$\sum_{a_i \in A_i} |w_i(a_i)|^2 \le B_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{12}$$

Then, for sufficiently large q, for the sum

$$\mathcal{J} = \sum_{a_2 \in A_2} \cdots \sum_{a_n \in A_n} w_2(a_2) \ldots w_n(a_n) \left| \sum_{a_1 \in A_1} w_1(a_1) \psi(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n) \right|,$$

we have the estimate

$$|\mathcal{J}| < \prod_{i=1}^{n} (B_i \#A_i)^{1/2} \left((\#A_1)^{-1/2} + 10 q^{-0.45 r\varepsilon / 2^n} \right).$$

Proof. Squaring and applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{J}|^2 \le & \sum_{a_2 \in A_2} \cdots \sum_{a_n \in A_n} |w_2(a_2)|^2 \ldots |w_n(a_n)|^2 \\ & \qquad \cdot \sum_{a_2 \in A_2} \cdots \sum_{a_n \in A_n} \left| \sum_{a_1 \in A_1} w_1(a_1) \psi(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n) \right|^2 \\ = & \prod_{i=2}^{n} \left(\sum_{a_i \in A_i} |w_i(a_i)|^2 \right) \sum_{a_1, b_1 \in A_1} w_1(a_1) \overline{w_1(b_1)} \\ & \qquad \cdot \sum_{a_2 \in A_2} \cdots \sum_{a_n \in A_n} \psi(a_2 \ldots a_n (a_1 - b_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Applying (12) and Lemma 8 (for the sum over $A_2, \ldots, A_n$), we obtain

$$|\mathcal{J}| \le \prod_{i=2}^{n} B_i^{1/2} \left(B_1^{1/2} \prod_{i=2}^{n} (\#A_i)^{1/2} + 10 \prod_{i=2}^{n} (\#A_i)^{1/2} \, q^{-0.45 r\varepsilon/2^n} \left(\sum_{a_1, b_1 \in A_1} w_1(a_1)\overline{w_1(b_1)} \right)^{1/2} \right),$$

where the first summand comes from the case $a_1 = b_1$. Taking into account that

$$\sum_{a_1, b_1 \in A_1} w_1(a_1)\overline{w_1(b_1)} = \left| \sum_{a \in A_1} w_1(a) \right|^2 \le \#A_1 \sum_{a \in A_1} |w_1(a)|^2,$$

and using again (12), we conclude the proof. □

Throughout the paper, we slightly abuse this notion of η-good sets and in the case of affine spaces introduce the following:

Definition 2. *We say that an affine subspace $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{L}$ is η-good if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L} + a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{L}$ and linear subspace $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ which is η-good as in Definition 1.*

Using Lemma 8 we prove the following estimate of additive character sums with polynomial argument over an affine subspace of $\mathbb{L}$, which can be seen as an explicit version of [3, Theorem C]. However, we notice that all previous such estimates are known for polynomials of degree less than p. Here we obtain results for more general polynomials.

For $0 < \varepsilon, \eta \le 1$, we define

$$\delta(\varepsilon, \eta) = \max\left(4, \gamma_\eta^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1} - 1) + 3\right). \tag{13}$$

Theorem 10. *Let $0 < \varepsilon, \eta \le 1$ be arbitrary numbers, γ_η and $\delta(\varepsilon, \eta)$ be defined by (10) and (13), respectively. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ be an η-good affine subspace of dimension s over $\mathbb{K}$ with*

$$s \ge \varepsilon r.$$

Let d be an integer satisfying the inequalities

$$\delta(\varepsilon, \eta) \le d \le \min\left(p, 0.9 \log_2 \log_2 q^r\right) + 1, \tag{14}$$

and let f be any polynomial of one of the following forms:

(i) *$f = X^{p^\nu} g_\nu + \ldots + X^p g_1 + g_0$, where $g_i \in \mathbb{L}[X]$, $i = 0 \ldots, \nu$, are such that*

$$\deg g_0 = d \ge \deg g_i + 3, \qquad i = 1 \ldots, \nu;$$

(ii) $f = X^{p^\nu+p^{\nu-1}+\ldots+p+1} + g \in \mathbb{L}[X]$, *where* $g \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ *with* $\deg g = d \ge 4$;

(iii) $f = g(l(X)) \in \mathbb{L}[X]$, *where* $g \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ *with* $\deg g = d$ *and* $l \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ *is a permutation* p*-polynomial of the form* (9) *such that* $l(\mathcal{L})$ *is* η*-good.*

Let $\chi : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{C}$ *a function satisfying* $\chi(x+y) = \chi(x)\chi(y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{L}$, *and such that*

$$\sum_{x\in\mathcal{A}} |\chi(x)|^{2^d} \le B.$$

Then, for sufficiently large q, *we have*

$$\left|\sum_{x\in\mathcal{A}} \chi(x)\psi(f(x))\right| \le 2B^{(d+1)/2^{d+1}} q^{s\left(1-(d+1)/2^{d+1}\right)-r\vartheta},$$

where

$$\vartheta = \frac{0.9\varepsilon}{2^{2d}}. \tag{15}$$

Proof. Write $\mathcal{A} = a+\mathcal{L}$, $a \in \mathbb{L}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-linear space of $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathcal{L} = s$. Making the linear transformation $x \in \mathcal{L} \to a + x$, we reduce the problem to estimating the character sum over a linear subspace,

$$S = \sum_{x\in\mathcal{L}} \chi(x)\psi\left(f(x)\right).$$

We use the method in [24]. For this we square the sum and after changing the order of summation and substituting $x_1 \to x_1 + x_2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|S|^2 &= \sum_{x_2\in\mathcal{L}} \sum_{x_1\in\mathcal{L}} \chi(x_1)\overline{\chi(x_2)}\psi\left(f(x_1) - f(x_2)\right)\\ &= \sum_{x_1\in\mathcal{L}} \chi(x_1) \sum_{x_2\in\mathcal{L}} |\chi(x_2)|^2\psi\left(\Delta_{x_1,x_2}(f)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_{X_1,X_2}(f)$ is defined by (3).

Squaring and applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality again, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|S|^4 &\le q^s \sum_{x_1\in\mathcal{L}} |\chi(x_1)|^2 \left|\sum_{x_2\in\mathcal{L}} |\chi(x_2)|^2\psi\left(\Delta_{x_1,x_2}(f)\right)\right|^2\\ &= q^s \sum_{x_1\in\mathcal{L}} |\chi(x_1)|^2 \sum_{x_2,x_3\in\mathcal{L}} |\chi(x_2)|^2|\chi(x_3)|^2\psi\left(\Delta_{x_1,x_2}(f) - \Delta_{x_1,x_3}(f)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $x_2 \to x_2 + x_3$, we get

$$|S|^4 \le q^s \sum_{x_1,x_2\in\mathcal{L}} |\chi(x_1)|^2|\chi(x_2)|^2 \sum_{x_3\in\mathcal{L}} |\chi(x_3)|^4\psi\left(x_1\Delta_{x_1,x_2,x_3}(f)\right),$$

where $\Delta_{X_1,X_2,X_3}(f)$ is defined by (3).

Simple inductive argument shows that applying this procedure, that is, squaring and applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, $d-1$ times, and using Corollary 4 and Lemma 6 for the polynomial f corresponding to (i) and (ii), we get to the exponential sum

$$|S|^{2^{d-1}} \le q^{s(2^{d-1}-d)} \sum_{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{d-1}\in\mathcal{L}} |\chi(x_1)|^{2^{d-2}} |\chi(x_2)|^{2^{d-2}} \ldots |\chi(x_{d-1})|^{2^{d-2}} \cdot \left| \sum_{x_d\in\mathcal{L}} |\chi(x_d)|^{2^{d-1}} \psi\left(d! a_d x_1 x_2 \ldots x_d\right) \right|.$$

We apply now Corollary 9 with

$$4 \le n = d \le 0.9 \log_2 \log_2 q^r + 1$$

and $A_1 = \ldots = A_n = \mathcal{L}$. We note that the condition (14) implies that

$$s\left(2 + (d-3)\gamma_\eta\right) > r(\varepsilon+1),$$

and thus the condition (11) in Corollary 9 is also satisfied. Moreover, we have

$$\left(\sum_{x\in\mathcal{L}} |\chi(x)|^{2^{d-1}} \right)^2 \le q^s \sum_{x\in\mathcal{L}} |\chi(x)|^{2^d} \le B q^s,$$

and thus

$$\sum_{x\in\mathcal{L}} |\chi(x)|^{2^{d-1}} \le B^{1/2} q^{s/2}.$$

We get

$$|S|^{2^{d-1}} \le 10 B^{(d+1)/4} q^{s\left(2^{d-1}-(d+1)/4\right) - 0.45 r\varepsilon/2^d}.$$

which immediately implies the result.

For the case (iii), proceeding the same but applying Lemma 7 and taking into account that l is a permutation polynomial, we get to the exponential sum

$$\begin{aligned} |S|^{2^{d-1}} &\le q^{s(2^{d-1}-d)} \sum_{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{d-1}\in\mathcal{L}} |\chi(x_1)|^{2^{d-2}} \chi(x_2)|^{2^{d-2}} \ldots |\chi(x_{d-1})|^{2^{d-2}} \\ &\qquad \cdot \left| \sum_{x_d\in\mathcal{L}} |\chi(x_d)|^{2^{d-1}} \psi\left(d! a_d l(x_1) l(x_2) \ldots l(x_d)\right) \right| \\ &= q^{s(2^{d-1}-d)} \sum_{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{d-1}\in l(\mathcal{L})} |\chi(l^{-1}(x_1))|^{2^{d-2}} \chi(l^{-1}(x_2))|^{2^{d-2}} \ldots \\ &\qquad \cdot |\chi(l^{-1}(x_{d-1}))|^{2^{d-2}} \left| \sum_{x_d\in l(\mathcal{L})} |\chi(l^{-1}(x_d))|^{2^{d-1}} \psi\left(d! a_d x_1 x_2 \ldots x_d\right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

where $l(\mathcal{L})$ is a subset of $\mathbb{L}$ of cardinality q^s (we note that if l is a q-polynomial, then $l(\mathcal{L})$ is actually a $\mathbb{K}$-linear subspace of $\mathbb{L}$) and l^{-1} is the compositional inverse of l, which is again a linearised polynomial of the form (9), see [29, Theorem 4.8]. Thus, we have that

$$\chi\left(l^{-1}(x+y)\right) = \chi\left(l^{-1}(x) + l^{-1}(y)\right) = \chi\left(l^{-1}(x)\right)\chi\left(l^{-1}(y)\right)$$

and

$$\sum_{x \in l(\mathcal{L})} |\chi\left(l^{-1}(x)\right)|^{2^d} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}} |\chi\left(x\right)|^{2^d} \le B.$$

As we also assume that $l(\mathcal{L})$ is η-good, the estimate follows the same by applying Corollary 9. □

Remark 11. *We note that, by* [21, Theorem 7.9]*, a p-polynomial $l \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ as defined by* (9) *is a permutation polynomial if and only if it has only the root* 0 *in* $\mathbb{L}$*.*

Remark 12. *In Theorem 10, (iii), we assume that the set $l(\mathcal{L})$ is η-good. We note that when $l(X) = X^{p^\nu}$, then $l(b\mathbb{F}) = b^{p^\nu}\mathbb{F}$, for any subfield $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{L}$ and any element b not in $\mathbb{F}$, and thus $l(\mathcal{L})$ is η-good. Another immediate example can be given for a prime $q = p$ and also a prime r. Since the only proper subfield $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{L}$ is $\mathbb{F}_p$, if $s \ge 2$, that is, $\#\mathcal{L} \ge p^2$ then,*

$$\#\left(l(\mathcal{L}) \cap b\mathbb{F}\right) \le p \le \#l(\mathcal{L})^{1/2}.$$

Remark 13. *Probably the most natural examples of the function $\chi(x)$ in Theorem 10 is given by exponential functions such as*

$$\chi(x) = \exp\left(2\pi \sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_j \mathrm{Tr}_{L|\mathbb{F}_p}(\tau_j x)\right)$$

for some $\zeta_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau_j \in \mathbb{L}$.

4. Values of polynomials in subspaces

In this section we give upper bounds for $\mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ defined by (1), that is, the cardinality of $f(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{B}$, for a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ and affine subspaces $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ of $\mathbb{L}$ over $\mathbb{K}$.

For our first result we use the Weil bound, see [21, Theorem 5.38], in a standard way. We recall it for the sake of completeness and use it as a benchmark for further improvements.

Lemma 14. *Let $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ be of degree $d \ge 1$ with $(d, p) = 1$, and let ψ be a nontrivial additive character of $\mathbb{L}$. Then*

$$\left|\sum_{c \in \mathbb{L}} \psi(f(c))\right| \le (d-1)q^{r/2}.$$

Theorem 15. *Let $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ be a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$, $(d,p)=1$, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ affine subspaces of dimension s and m, respectively, over $\mathbb{K}$. Then, we have*

$$\mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) = q^{s+m-r} + O\left(dq^{r/2}\right).$$

Proof. As in Theorem 10, we can reduce the problem to estimating $\mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2)$, where $\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2$ are linear spaces.

Let $\beta_1,\dots,\beta_{r-s}$ be the basis for the complementary space of $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\omega_1,\dots,\omega_{r-m}$ be the basis for the complementary space of $\mathcal{L}_2$, that is, $u \in \mathcal{L}_1$ and $v \in \mathcal{L}_2$ if and only if

(16)
$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}|\mathbb{K}}(\beta_i u) = 0,\ i=1,\dots,r-s, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}|\mathbb{K}}(\omega_i v) = 0,\ i=1,\dots,r-m.$$

We use the relations (16) to give an upper bound for $\mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2)$. Indeed, let ψ be a nontrivial additive character of $\mathbb{L}$. Using additive character sums to count the elements $u \in \mathcal{L}_1$ such that the elements of $f(u)$ satisfy (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{L}) &= \frac{1}{q^{2r-s-m}} \sum_{x\in\mathbb{L}} \sum_{\substack{c_i,d_j\in\mathbb{K}\\ i=1,\dots,r-s\\ j=1,\dots,r-m}} \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-s} c_i\beta_i x + \sum_{j=1}^{r-m} d_j\omega_j f(x)\right)\\ &= q^{s+m-r} + \frac{1}{q^{2r-s-m}} \sum_{\substack{c_i,d_i\in\mathbb{K}\\ i=1,\dots,r-s\\ j=1,\dots,r-m}}{}^{*} \sum_{x\in\mathbb{L}} \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-s} c_i\beta_i x + \sum_{j=1}^{r-m} d_j\omega_j f(x)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where the first term is given by $c_i = d_j = 0$, $i=1,\dots,r-s$, $j=1,\dots,r-m$, and $\sum^*$ means that at least one element $c_i, d_j \neq 0$.

We notice that since $\deg f = d \geq 2$, nontrivial linear combinations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r-s} c_i\beta_i x + \sum_{j=1}^{r-m} d_j\omega_j f(x),\ c_i,d_j \in \mathbb{K},\ i=1,\dots,r-s,\ j=1,\dots,r-m,$$

that appear in the inner sum are all nonconstant polynomials. Indeed, assume that this is not the case, and without loss of generality we can also assume that $d_i \neq 0$ for at least one $i=1,\dots,t$. Then, the vanishing of the leading coefficients (of the monomial X^d)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r-m} d_i\omega_i X^d = 0$$

implies that the elements $\omega_1,\dots,\omega_{r-m}$ are linearly dependent as elements of $\mathbb{L}$ seen as a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$, which contradicts the hypothesis.

We can apply now the Weil bound given by Lemma 14 to the sum over $x \in \mathbb{L}$ and conclude the proof. $\square$

We note that the bound of Theorem 15 is nontrivial whenever $dq^{r/2} < q^s$, and thus only for $s > r(1/2 + \varepsilon)$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

In the rest of this section we obtain a bound that depends on both parameters s and m. We recall first a similar result that was recently obtained in [22, Theorem 7] for the case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ and only for polynomials of degree smaller than p.

Let $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ be of degree $d = \deg f$ with $p > d \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ be an affine subspace of dimension s over $\mathbb{K}$ such that for any subfield $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ and any $b \in \mathbb{L}$ we have

$$\# \left(\mathcal{L} \cap b\mathbb{F}\right) \leq \max \left\{ (\#\mathbb{L})^{1/2}, \frac{q^{s(1-\rho_d)}}{8} \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{A} = a + \mathcal{L}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}$ and a linear subspace $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$. Then the following estimate is obtained in [22, Theorem 7]:

$$\mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \ll q^{s(1-\kappa_d)}, \tag{17}$$

with

$$\eta_d = \frac{4}{277 \cdot 5^{d-2} - 1}, \quad \kappa_d = \frac{4}{277 \cdot 5^{d-2} + 3},$$

and for $d \geq 3$,

$$\vartheta_d = \eta_d + \vartheta_{d-1} - \eta_d \vartheta_{d-1}, \quad \rho_d = \eta_d + \vartheta_d - \eta_d \vartheta_d,$$

where $\eta_2 = \vartheta_2 = 1/69$.

We prove now one of our main results using Theorem 10.

Theorem 16. *Let $0 < \varepsilon, \eta \leq 1$ be arbitrary numbers and let γ_η and $\delta(\varepsilon, \eta)$ be defined by (10) and (13), respectively. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ be an η-good affine subspace of dimension s over $\mathbb{K}$ with*

$$s \geq \varepsilon r,$$

and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ another affine subspace of dimension m over $\mathbb{K}$. Let d and f be as in Theorem 10. Then

$$\left| \mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) - q^{s+m-r} \right| \leq 2q^{s-r\vartheta},$$

where ϑ is defined by (15).

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 15 (where we take the sum over $\mathcal{L}_1$, not all the field $\mathbb{L}$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) &= \frac{1}{q^{r-m}} \sum_{\substack{c_i\in\mathbb{K}\\ i=1,\ldots,r-m}} \sum_{x\in\mathcal{L}_1} \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-m} c_i\omega_i f(x)\right),\\ &= q^{s+m-r} + \frac{1}{q^{r-m}} \sum_{\substack{c_i\in\mathbb{K}\\ i=1,\ldots,r-m}}{}^{*} \sum_{x\in\mathcal{L}_1} \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-m} c_i\omega_i f(x)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where the first term corresponds to $c_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r-m$ and $\sum^*$ means that at least one $c_i \neq 0$. We denote

$$T = \sum_{x\in\mathcal{L}_1} \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-m} c_i\omega_i f(x)\right).$$

We apply Theorem 10 (with $\chi(x) = 1$, $x \in \mathbb{L}$, and $B = q^s$) for the sum T with the polynomial

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{r-m} c_i\omega_i f(X) \in \mathbb{L}[X],$$

which is of degree d as at least one $c_i \neq 0$. We get

$$|T| \leq 2q^{s-r\vartheta},$$

and thus we conclude the proof. □

We note that $\mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) > 0$ in Theorem 16 if $m > r(1-\vartheta)$. Furthermore, for any fixed $\rho > 1-\vartheta$ and $m \geq r\rho$, Theorem 16 gives an asymptotic formula for $\mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ as $q^r \to \infty$.

When $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ in Theorem 16, we get the estimate

$$\mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}) \leq 2q^{s-r\vartheta}.$$

This bound improves the estimate (17) obtained in [22] for

$$s < 2.5\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^d r\varepsilon.$$

In particular, if $\varepsilon = s/r$, it always improves (17) whenever d satisfies the condition (14). Moreover, Theorem 16 generalises (17) as this estimate was obtained in [22] only for polynomials of degree $d < p$.

Note also that the results of Roche-Newton and Shparlinski [22] always required $\eta \geq 1/2$ (but also applies to polynomials of lower degree).

Corollary 17. *If under the conditions of Theorem 16 we have $f(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, then $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{L}$.*

Proof. Indeed, if $f(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, then from Theorem 16 we derive

$$q^s = \mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \le q^{s+m-r} + 2q^{s-r\vartheta},$$

which is possible only if $m = r$. □

Theorem 16 has also direct consequences on the image and kernel subspaces of q-polynomials defined by

$$l = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} b_i X^{q^i} \in \mathbb{L}[X], \ \nu < r. \tag{18}$$

Then, for an affine subspace $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathbb{L}$ of dimension $m \le r$, the image set $l(\mathcal{B}) = \{l(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-affine subspace of dimension at most m.

Moreover, we denote by $Ker(l)$ the set of zeroes of the polynomial l. By [21, Theorem 3.50], $Ker(l)$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$, where $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is the field extension of $\mathbb{L}$ containing all the roots of l. Taking now the trace over $\mathbb{L}$, we have that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^t}|\mathbb{L}}(Ker(l))$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-linear subspace of $\mathbb{L}$.

Under the conditions of Theorem 16, for any q-polynomial $l \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ defined by (18), we have

$$\left|\mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{A}, l(\mathcal{B})) - q^{s+m-r}\right| \le 2q^{s-r\vartheta},$$

where ϑ is defined by (15). The same estimate holds for

$$\mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{A}, \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^t}|\mathbb{L}}(Ker(l)))$$

with m replaced with $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^t}|\mathbb{L}}(Ker(l))$.

Moreover, as in Corollary 17, we see that $f(\mathcal{A})$ is not included in $l(\mathcal{B})$ for any proper subspace $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ or in $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^t}|\mathbb{L}}(Ker(l))$.

It would be certainly interesting to find upper bounds for the intersection of image sets of polynomials on affine subspaces. That is, given $f, g \in \mathbb{L}[X]$, find estimates for the size of $f(\mathcal{A}) \cap g(\mathcal{A})$ for a given proper affine subspace $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{L}$. For prime fields, Chang shows in [7] that the intersection of the images of two polynomials on a given interval is sparse. In the case of arbitrary finite fields, several such estimates are given in [10] for very special classes of polynomials and affine spaces.

5. Polynomial orbits in subspaces

As in [22], one can obtain immediately from Theorem 16 the following consequence about the number of consecutive iterates falling in a subspace. We recall that for a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ and element $u \in \mathbb{L}$, we define $T_{f,u} = \#\mathrm{Orb}_f(u)$ as defined by (2).

Corollary 18. *Let $0 < \varepsilon, \eta \le 1$ be arbitrary numbers and let γ_η and $\delta(\varepsilon, \eta)$ be defined by* (10) *and* (13)*, respectively. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ be an η-good affine subspace of dimension s over $\mathbb{K}$ with*

$$s \ge \varepsilon r.$$

Let d and and f be as in Theorem 10. If for some $u \in \mathbb{L}$ and an integer N with $2 \le N \le T_{f,u}$ we have

$$f^{(n)}(u) \in \mathcal{A}, \qquad n = 0, \ldots, N-1,$$

then

$$q^s \ge \frac{1}{2} N q^{r\vartheta},$$

where ϑ is defined by (15)*.*

Proof. The result follows directly from Theorem 16 as $N \le \mathcal{I}_f(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \le 2q^{s-r\vartheta}$. □

Remark 19. *Similarly to Corollary 18 (replacing $\mathcal{A}$ with the image space of a linearised polynomial l), based on the discussion after Corollary 17, one can obtain estimates for the number of consecutive elements in the orbit of a polynomial of the form defined in Theorem 10, that fall in the orbit of l in any point of $\mathbb{L}$.*

We also note that the proof of [22, Theorem 6], using Theorem 16, can give information about the number of arbitrary (not necessarily consecutive) iterates falling in a subspace. For the sake of completeness we repeat the argument of [22, Theorem 6] for the case of subspaces instead of subfields for which this result has been obtained.

We present our bounds in terms of the the parameter ρ which is a frequency of iterates of $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ in an affine space, that is, $\rho = M/N$, where M is the number of positive integers $n \le N$ with $f^{(n)}(u) \in \mathcal{A}$. Again, we obtain a power improvement over the trivial bound $q^s \ge \rho N$ (where $s = \dim \mathcal{A}$).

Theorem 20. *Let $0 < \varepsilon, \eta \le 1$ and let γ_η and $\delta(\varepsilon, \eta)$ be defined by* (10) *and* (13)*, respectively. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ be an η-good affine subspace of dimension $s \ge \varepsilon r$ over $\mathbb{K}$. Let $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ be a polynomial of degree d such that for $N \le T_{f,u}$ we have $f^{(n)}(u) \in \mathcal{A}$ for at least $\rho N \ge 2$ values of $n = 1, \ldots, N$. If*

$$\delta(\varepsilon, \eta) \le d^{2\rho^{-1}} \le \min\left(p, 0.9 \log_2 \log_2 q^r\right) + 1,$$

then

$$q^s \ge \frac{\rho^2 N}{32} q^{r\vartheta_\rho},$$

where

$$\vartheta_\rho = \frac{0.9\varepsilon}{2^{2d^{2/\rho}}}. \tag{19}$$

Proof. We follow exactly the same proof as in [22, Theorem 6]. Let $1 \le n_1 < \ldots < n_M \le N$ be all values such that $f^{(n_i)}(u) \in \mathcal{A}$, $i = 1, \ldots, M$. We denote by $A(h)$ the number of $i = 1, \ldots, M-1$ with $n_{i+1} - n_i = h$. Clearly

$$\sum_{h=1}^{N} A(h) = M - 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_{h=1}^{N} A(h)h = n_M - n_1 \le N.$$

Thus, for any integer $H \ge 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{h=1}^{H} A(h) &= M - 1 - \sum_{h=H+1}^{N} A(h) \\ &\ge M - 1 - (H+1)^{-1} \sum_{h=H+1}^{N} A(h)h \ge M - 1 - (H+1)^{-1}N.\end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists $k \in \{1, \ldots, H\}$ with

$$A(k) \ge H^{-1}\left(M - 1 - (H+1)^{-1}N\right). \tag{20}$$

Let $H = \lfloor 2\rho^{-1} \rfloor \ge 1$. Then

$$H^{-1}\left(M - 1 - (H+1)^{-1}N\right) \ge \frac{M-1}{2H} \ge \frac{(M-1)^2}{4N}$$

and we derive from (20) that

$$A(k) \ge \frac{(M-1)^2}{4N} = \frac{\rho^2 N}{4}\left(1 - \frac{1}{M}\right)^2 \ge \frac{\rho^2 N}{16}. \tag{21}$$

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the set of $j \in \{1, \ldots, M-1\}$ with $n_{j+1} - n_j = k$. Then we have

$$f^{(n_j)}(u) \in \mathcal{A} \qquad \text{and} \qquad f^{(n_{j+1})}(u) = f^{(k)}\left(f^{(n_j)}(u)\right) \in \mathcal{A},$$

that is

$$\left(f^{(n_j)}(u), f^{(k)}\left(f^{(n_j)}(u)\right)\right) \in \mathcal{A} \cap f^{(k)}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Thus, $A(k) \le \mathcal{I}_{f^{(k)}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$, and from (21) and Theorem 16, we get

$$\frac{\rho^2 N}{16} \le 2q^{s - r\vartheta_\rho},$$

where ϑ_ρ is defined by (19). We thus conclude the proof. □

One can also obtain information on the intersection of orbits of a polynomial f of degree $d < p$ with orbits of a q-polynomial l (see also the discussion after Corollary 17).

Corollary 21. *Let $0 < \varepsilon, \eta \le 1$ and let γ_η and $\delta(\varepsilon, \eta)$ be defined by* (10) *and* (13)*, respectively. Let $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ be a polynomial of degree d and $l \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ a linearsied polynomial of the form* (18) *such that $l(\mathbb{L})$ is an η-good linear subspace of dimension $s \ge \varepsilon r$ over $\mathbb{K}$. Let*

$$M = \#\left(\mathrm{Orb}_f(u) \cap \mathrm{Orb}_l(v)\right),$$

and $\rho = M/\min(T_{f,u}, T_{l,v})$ the frequency of intersection of the orbits. If

$$\delta(\varepsilon, \eta) \le d^{2\rho^{-1}} \le \min\left(p, 0.9 \log_2 \log_2 q^r\right) + 1,$$

then

$$q^s \ge \frac{\rho^2 \min(T_{f,u}, T_{l,v})}{32} q^{r\vartheta_\rho},$$

where ϑ_ρ is defined by (19)*.*

Proof. As $\mathrm{Orb}_l(v) \subset l(\mathbb{L})$, the proof follows exactly as the proof of Theorem 20, but with $\mathcal{A}$ replaced with $l(\mathbb{L})$ and N replaced with $\min(T_{f,u}, T_{l,v})$. □

6. Exponential sums over consecutive integers

In this section we consider $q = p$. For a positive integer $n \le p^r - 1$, we consider the p-adic representation

$$n = n_0 + n_1 p + \ldots + n_{s-1} p^{s-1},\ 0 \le n_j < p,\ j = 0, \ldots, s-1, \tag{22}$$

for some $s \le r$.

In this section we fix a basis $\omega_0, \ldots, \omega_{r-1}$ of $\mathbb{L}$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ and define

$$\xi_n = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} n_j \omega_j. \tag{23}$$

Let $1 \le N \le p^r - 1$, $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ a polynomial of degree d and ψ an additive character of $\mathbb{L}$. In this section we estimate the exponential sum

$$S(N) = \sum_{n \le N} \chi(n) \psi(f(\xi_n)),$$

where $\chi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a p-multiplicative function, that is, it satisfies the condition

$$\chi\left(m + tp^k\right) = \chi(m)\chi\left(tp^k\right)$$

for all $k \ge 0$, $t \ge 0$ and $0 \le m < p^k$. This class of functions, as well as the closely related class of p-additive functions have been studied in

classical works of Gelfond [16] and Delange [14], see also [15, 17, 20] and references therein for more recent developments.

A large family of such function can be obtained as

$$\chi(n) = \exp\left(2\pi i \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \alpha_j n_j\right), \tag{24}$$

where α_j, $j = 0, 1, \ldots$, is a fixed infinite sequence of real numbers and n is given by the p-adic representation as in (22), see also [19] for a more general class. In particular taking $\alpha_j = \alpha p^j$ and $\alpha_j = \alpha$ for a real α, we obtain the following two natural examples,

$$\chi(n) = \exp\left(2\pi i \alpha n\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \chi(n) = \exp\left(2\pi i \alpha \sigma_p(n)\right),$$

respectively, where $\sigma_p(n)$ is the sum of p-ary digits of n.

For simplicity we consider the family (24) in the next result.

Theorem 22. *Let $0 < \varepsilon, \eta \le 1$ be arbitrary numbers and let γ_η and $\delta(\varepsilon, \eta)$ be defined by* (10) *and* (13)*, respectively. Let $p^{s-1} \le N \le p^s - 1$ for some $s \le r$ satisfying*

$$s \ge \varepsilon r,$$

and assume the linear subspace $\mathcal{L}_s \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ spanned by $\omega_0, \ldots, \omega_{s-1}$ is η-good. Let $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ be a polynomial of the form (i), (ii) or (iii) as defined in Theorem 10 with d satisfying the condition

$$\delta\left(\varepsilon/2, \eta/2\right) + 1 \le d \le \min\left(p, 0.9 \log_2 \log_2 p^r\right) + 2, \tag{25}$$

and ψ an additive character of $\mathbb{L}$. Let $\chi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a p-multiplicative function defined by (24)*. Then*

$$|S(N)| \le (Np)^{1-\eta/4} + 2Np^{-r\vartheta_\eta/2},$$

where

$$\vartheta_\eta = \frac{0.9\varepsilon(1-\eta/2)}{2^{2d-2}}. \tag{26}$$

Proof. Let $K = \lceil s(1-\eta/2) \rceil$ and $M = p^K \lfloor N/p^K \rfloor - 1$. Our sum becomes

$$|S(N)| \le |S(M)| + p^K \le |S(M)| + p(Np)^{1-\eta/2}. \tag{27}$$

From the definition of M, we have that

$$M = \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} (p-1)p^i + Tp^K,$$

for some $T \le p^{s-K-1} - 1 \le Np^{-K} - 1$.

We have

$$|S(M)| = \left| \sum_{m<p^k} \chi(m) \sum_{t\le T} \chi(tp^K)\psi(f(\xi_{m+tp^K})) \right|$$
$$\le \sum_{m<p^k} \left| \sum_{t\le T} \chi(tp^K)\psi(f(\xi_{m+tp^K})) \right|$$

and thus, squaring and applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and using the fact that $|\chi(tp^k)| = 1$, we get

$$|S(M)|^2 \le p^K \sum_{m<p^K} \left| \sum_{t\le T} \chi(tp^K)\psi(f(\xi_{m+tp^K})) \right|^2$$
$$\le p^K \sum_{t_1,t_2\le T} \left| \sum_{m<p^K} \psi(f(\xi_{m+t_1p^K}) - f(\xi_{m+t_2p^K})) \right|.$$

The set of integers $n \le M$ is of the form

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} n_i p^i + tp^K \mid 0 \le n_0, \dots, n_{K-1} \le p-1, 0 \le t \le T \right\}, \tag{28}$$

and thus, we now see from (23) that

$$\xi_{m+t_ip^K} = \xi_m + \zeta_{t_i}, \quad \xi_m \in \mathcal{L}_K, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_K$ is the K-dimensional linear subspace defined by the basis elements $\omega_0, \dots, \omega_{K-1}$ of $\mathbb{L}$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$, and with some $\zeta_{t_i} \in \mathbb{L}$, $0 \le t_i \le T$, $i = 1, 2$. As m runs over the interval $[0, p^K - 1]$, ξ_m runs over all the elements of $\mathcal{L}_K$, and moreover, $\zeta_{t_1} \ne \zeta_{t_2}$ for $t_1 \ne t_2$.

Our sum becomes

$$|S(M)|^2 \le p^K \sum_{t_1,t_2\le T} \left| \sum_{x\in\mathcal{L}_K} \psi(f(x+\zeta_{t_1}) - f(x+\zeta_{t_2}) \right|$$
$$\le Np^K + p^K \sum_{t_1,t_2\le T, t_1\ne t_2} \left| \sum_{x\in\mathcal{L}_K} \psi(F_{t_1,t_2}(x)) \right|,$$

where $F_{t_1,t_2}(X) = f(X+\zeta_{t_1}) - f(X+\zeta_{t_2}) \in \mathbb{L}[X]$.

We note that, as $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ is a polynomial of the form (i), (ii) or (iii) as defined in Theorem 10, then F_{t_1,t_2} is a non constant polynomial of the same form as f. When f is of the form (i), we have

$$f = X^{p^\nu} g_\nu + \ldots + X^p g_1 + g_0,$$

where $g_i \in \mathbb{L}[X]$, $i = 0 \ldots, \nu$, are such that $\deg g_0 = d \geq \deg g_i + 3$, $i = 1 \ldots, \nu$. Then we get

$$F_{t_1,t_2}(X) = X^{p^\nu} \left(g_\nu(X + \zeta_{t_1}) - g_\nu(X + \zeta_{t_2})\right) + \ldots + X^p \left(g_1(X + \zeta_{t_1}) - g_1(X + \zeta_{t_2})\right) + F_{0,t_1,t_2}(X),$$

where

$$F_{0,t_1,t_2}(X) = g_0(X + \zeta_{t_1}) - g_0(X + \zeta_{t_2}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \left(\zeta_{t_1}^{p^i} g_i(X + \zeta_{t_1}) - \zeta_{t_2}^{p^i} g_i(X + \zeta_{t_2})\right).$$

For $t_1 \neq t_2$, we note that $g_i(X + \zeta_{t_1}) - g_i(X + \zeta_{t_2})$, $i = 0, \ldots, \nu$, is a nonconstant polynomial of degree equal to $\deg g_i - 1$, and

$$d - 1 = \deg F_{0,t_1,t_2} \geq \deg \left(g_i(X + \zeta_{t_1}) - g_i(X + \zeta_{t_2})\right) + 3.$$

Thus, F_{t_1,t_2} is of the same form and satisfies the same conditions as f.

Similarly, if f is of the form (ii) of Theorem 10, that is

$$f = X^{p^\nu + p^{\nu-1} + \ldots + p + 1} + g \in \mathbb{L}[X],$$

where $g \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ with $\deg g = d \geq 5$, then

$$F_{t_1,t_2}(X) = g(X + \zeta_{t_1}) - g(X + \zeta_{t_2})$$

is a non constant polynomial of degree $d - 1 \geq 4$.

If f is of the form (iii) of Theorem 10, that is, $f = g(l(x))$ with $\deg g = d$ and some permutation p-polynomial $l \in \mathbb{L}[X]$, then

$$F_{t_1,t_2}(X) = g(l(X) + l(\zeta_{t_1})) - g(l(X) + l(\zeta_{t_2})) = G_{t_1,t_2}(l(X)),$$

where $G_{t_1,t_2}(X) = g(X + l(\zeta_{t_1})) - g(X + l(\zeta_{t_2})) \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ is of degree $d - 1$.

As $s \geq \varepsilon r$, then $K \geq s(1 - \eta/2) \geq \varepsilon_\eta r$, where $\varepsilon_\eta = \varepsilon(1 - \eta/2)$ by the hypothesis. Since

$$K \geq s(1 - \eta/2) > s\frac{1 - \eta}{1 - \eta/2}$$

we also have, for any proper subfield $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{L}$,

$$\#\left(\mathcal{L}_K \cap b\mathbb{F}\right) \leq \#\left(\mathcal{L}_s \cap b\mathbb{F}\right) \leq p^{s(1-\eta)} < p^{K(1-\eta/2)}.$$

Moreover, from condition (25), we have $d-1 \geq \delta(\varepsilon/2, \eta/2) \geq \delta(\varepsilon_\eta, \eta/2)$ as defined by (13). Thus, the conditions of Theorem 10 are satisfied (with d replaced by $d-1$, ε replaced by ε_η and η replaced by $\eta/2$), and we obtain

$$|S(M)|^2 \leq Np^K + 2p^K T^2 p^{K - r\vartheta_\eta} \leq Np^{s(1-\eta/2)+1} + 2N^2 p^{-r\vartheta_\eta} \leq (Np)^{2-\eta/2} + 2N^2 p^{-r\vartheta_\eta},$$

where ϑ_η is given by (26) and thus, recalling (27), we conclude the proof. □

We also note that we have not put any efforts in optimising the condition (25) in Theorem 22. For example, if one imposes the condition

$$\delta(\varepsilon(1-0.9\eta),0.1\eta)+1 \le d \le \min\left(p, 0.9\log_2\log_2 p^r\right)+2,$$

then one obtains the slightly better bound

$$|S(N)| \le (Np)^{1-0.45\eta} + 2Np^{-r\vartheta_\eta/2},$$

where

$$\vartheta_\eta = \frac{0.9\varepsilon(1-0.9\eta)}{2^{2d-2}}.$$

Remark 23. *We note that similarly to the proof of Theorem 22 we can derive directly from Theorem 10 a bound for the exponential sum*

$$R(N) = \sum_{n\le N} \chi(\xi_n)\psi(f(\xi_n)),$$

where $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ is of the form (i), (ii) or (iii) as defined in Theorem 10 with d satisfying the condition

$$\delta\left(\varepsilon/2,\eta/2\right) \le d \le \min\left(p, 0.9\log_2\log_2 p^r\right)+1.$$

Let $\chi : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the conditions $\chi(x+y) = \chi(x)\chi(y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{L}$, and

$$\sum_{x\in\mathcal{A}_s} |\chi(x)|^{2^d} \le B.$$

Then, one obtains

$$|R(N)| \le 2B^{(d+1)/2^{d+1}} Np^{-K(d+1)/2^{d+1}-r\vartheta_\eta} + p(Np)^{1-\eta/2}\max_{n\le N}|\chi(\xi_n)|,$$

where

$$\vartheta_\eta = \frac{0.9\varepsilon(1-\eta/2)}{2^{2d}}.$$

Indeed, as in the proof of Theorem 22 we reduce the problem to estimating $|R(M)|$, where $M = p^K\lfloor N/p^K\rfloor - 1$. As in the proof of Theorem 22, the set of integers $n \le M$ is of the form (28), and thus, we now see from (23) that the set of ξ_n is partitioned into the union of $T+1$ affine spaces of the shape $A(t) = \mathcal{L}_K + \zeta_t$, where $\mathcal{L}_K$ is the K-dimensional linear subspace defined by the basis elements $\omega_0, \dots, \omega_{K-1}$ of $\mathbb{L}$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$, and with some $\zeta_t \in \mathbb{L}$, $0 \le t \le T$.

As there are at most N/q^K elements $\xi_t \in \mathbb{L}$ corresponding to $t \le T$ as discussed above, our sum becomes

$$|R(M)| \le Nq^{-K} \left| \sum_{x \in \mathcal{A}(t)} \chi(x)\psi(f(x)) \right|,$$

where $\mathcal{A}(t) = \mathcal{L}_K + \zeta_t$ for some $\zeta_t \in \mathbb{L}$, $0 \le t \le T$. Now, the estimate follows applying Theorem 10 to the sum $R(M)$.

Moreover, if $N = p^s - 1$, for some $s \le r$, the set of elements ξ_n corresponding to $n \le N$ given by (23) defines an affine subspace $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathbb{L}$ of dimension s. This case is exactly Theorem 10, and thus

$$|R(N)| \le 2B^{(d+1)/2^{d+1}} p^{s\left(1-(d+1)/2^{d+1}\right)-r\vartheta},$$

where ϑ is defined by (15) (but with d replaced by $d-1$).

7. Waring problem in intervals and subspaces

Let $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ be a polynomial of degree d. In this section we consider first the Waring problem over an affine subspace $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathbb{L}$ of dimension s, that is the question of the existence and estimation of a positive integer k such that, for any $y \in \mathbb{L}$, the equation

$$f(x_1) + \ldots + f(x_k) = y, \tag{29}$$

is solvable in $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$.

In particular, we denote by $g(f, q, s)$ the smallest possible value of k in (29) and put $g(f, q, s) = \infty$ if such k does not exist.

We obtain the following direct consequence of Theorem 10.

Theorem 24. *Let $0 < \varepsilon, \eta \le 1$ be arbitrary numbers and let γ_η and $\delta(\varepsilon, \eta)$ be defined by (10) and (13), respectively. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ be an η-good affine subspace of dimension s over $\mathbb{K}$ with*

$$s \ge \varepsilon r.$$

If $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ is a polynomial of the form (i), (ii) or (iii) as defined in Theorem 10, then for $k \ge 3$ with

$$\left(\frac{q^{r\vartheta}}{2}\right)^{k-2} > Dq^{r-s},$$

where ϑ is defined by (15) and $D = \deg f$ in the cases (i) and (ii) and $D = \deg g$ in the case (iii), we have

$$g(f, q, s) \le k.$$

Proof. We use again exponential sums to count the number of solutions N_k of the equation (29), that is,

$$N_k = \frac{1}{q^r} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{L}} \sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_k \in \mathcal{A}} \psi\left(u\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} f(x_i) - y\right)\right)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} |N_k - q^{sk-r}| &\le \frac{1}{q^r} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{L}^*} |S_u|^k = \frac{1}{q^r} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{L}^*} |S_u|^{k-2} |S_u|^2 \\ &\le \frac{1}{q^r} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{L}^*} |S_u|^{k-2} \sum_{x_1,x_2 \in \mathcal{A}} \psi(u(f(x_1) - f(x_2))), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_u = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{A}} \psi(uf(x)).$$

Using Theorem 10 for the sum S_u and the estimate Dq^s (for fixed $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}$, there are at most D zeros of $f(x_1) - f(X)$) for the inner sum, we obtain

$$\left|N_k - q^{sk-r}\right| \le 2^{k-2} D q^{s(k-1)-r\vartheta(k-2)},$$

where ϑ is defined by (15). Imposing now $N_k > 0$, we conclude the proof.

The statement for the polynomial of the type (iii) in Theorem 10 follows as l is a permutation p-polynomial as defined in Theorem 10.

□

If D is fixed in Theorem 24, then for

$$k > \frac{r-s}{r}\vartheta^{-1} + 2$$

and sufficiently large q^s we have $g(f, q, s) < k$.

Next we consider $q = p$, and for an integer $n \le N$, we have ξ_n defined by (23). We also study the question of the existence of a positive integer k such that for any $y \in \mathbb{L}$, the equation

$$f(\xi_{n_1}) + \ldots + f(\xi_{n_k}) = y$$

is solvable in positive integers $n_1, \ldots, n_k \le N$. As above, we denote by $G(f, p, N)$ the smallest such value of k and put $G(f, p, N) = \infty$ if such k does not exist.

Corollary 25. *Let $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ be a polynomial of the form (i), (ii) or (iii) as defined in Theorem 10 and $p^{s-1} \le N < p^s$ for some $s \le r$ satisfying*

$$s \ge \varepsilon r,$$

and assume the linear subspace $\mathcal{L}_s \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ spanned by $\omega_0, \ldots, \omega_{s-1}$ is η-good. Then for $k \geq 3$ with

$$\left(\frac{p^{r\vartheta}}{2}\right)^{k-2} > Dp^{r-s+1},$$

where ϑ is defined by (15) and $D = \deg f$ in the cases (i) and (ii) and $D = \deg g$ in the case (iii), we have

$$G(f, p, N) \leq k.$$

Proof. As $N \geq p^{s-1}$, we have that $G(f, p, N) \leq g(f, p, s-1)$, and thus we can apply directly Theorem 24 with s replaced with $s-1$, and with q replaced by p. □

We note that Corollary 25 follows also by applying directly Theorems 22, however the estimate obtained would be slightly weaker.

8. Remarks and open questions

We note that we could prove Theorem 20 only for polynomials of degree less than p. The reason behind this is that when one iterates the polynomial f of the form (i), (ii) or (iii), the shape changes and thus we cannot apply anymore Theorem 10. It would be interesting to extend such a result for more general polynomials.

Theorem 16 can also be translated into the language of affine dispersers, see [1]. We consider $q = p$ prime and $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}_{p^r}$.

Definition 3. *A function $f : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{F}_p$ is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-affine disperser for dimension s if for every affine subspace $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathbb{L}$ of dimension at least s, we have $\#f(\mathcal{A}) > 1$.*

As a direct consequence of Theorems 16, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 26. *Let $0 < \varepsilon, \eta \leq 1$ and let $f \in \mathbb{L}[X]$ be a polynomial as defined in (i), (ii) or (iii) of Theorem 16. Then $\pi(f)$, where $\pi : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{F}_p$ is a nontrivial $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear map, is an affine disperser for dimension greater than εr.*

We note that condition (14) shows that the larger ε is, the smaller the degree d is, where d is defined as in Theorem 16. For example, if

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \eta \leq \frac{4}{5215}$$

then one has $d > \delta(1/2, \eta) = \gamma_\eta^{-1} + 3 = 156453$. Furthermore, if

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{3} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \eta \leq \frac{4}{5215}$$

then $d > \delta(1/3, \eta) = 2\gamma_\eta^{-1} + 3 = 312903$.

As mentioned in [22], obtaining analogues of Theorem 10, and thus of the rest of results of this paper, for rational functions is an important open direction. For this one has to obtain estimates for the exponential sum

$$S = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}} \psi\left(h(x)\right),$$

where $h \in \mathbb{L}(X)$ is a rational function and ψ a nontrivial additive character. Even the case $h(X) = X^{-1}$ is still open.

Also of interest is obtaining estimates for

$$S = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{G}} \psi\left(h(x)\right),$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is a multiplicative subgroup of $\mathbb{L}^*$. We note that for the prime field case, such a result would follow from [2, Theorem 1].

Of interest is also the multivariate case of Tehorem 16, that is, given $F \in \mathbb{L}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ and $\mathcal{A}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_n, \mathcal{B}$ affine subspaces of L, estimate the size of $F(\mathcal{A}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_n) \cap \mathcal{B}$.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Igor Shparlinski for suggesting some extensions of initial results, and for his important comments on earlier versions of the paper. The author is also grateful to the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics for hosting the author for two months during the program "Dynamics and Numbers" when important progress on this paper was made.

During the preparation of this paper the author was supported by the UNSW Vice Chancellor's Fellowship.

References

[1] E. Ben-Sasson and S. Kopparty, 'Affine dispersers from subspace polynomials', *SIAM J. Comput.*, **41** (2012), 880914.

[2] J. Bourgain, 'Mordell's exponential sum estimate revisited', *J. of AMS*, **18** (2005), 477–499.

[3] J. Bourgain, 'On exponential sums in finite fields', *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, **21**, Jnos Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest (2010), 219–242.

[4] J. Bourgain and A. Glibichuk, 'Exponential sum estimates over a subgroup in an arbitrary finite field', *J. D'Analyse Math.*, **115** (2011), 51–70.

[5] M.-C. Chang, 'Polynomial iteration in characteristic p', *J. Functional Analysis*, **263** (2012), 3412–3421.

[6] M.-C. Chang, 'Expansions of quadratic maps in prime fields', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **142** (2014), 85–92.

[7] M.-C. Chang, 'Sparsity of the intersection of polynomial images of an interval', *Acta Arith.*, **165** (2014), 243–249.
[8] M.-C. Chang, J. Cilleruelo, M. Z. Garaev, J. Hernández, I. E. Shparlinski and A. Zumalacárregui, 'Points on curves in small boxes and applications', *Michigan Math. J.* , **63** (2014), 503–534.
[9] J. Cilleruelo, M. Z. Garaev, A. Ostafe and I. E. Shparlinski, 'On the concentration of points of polynomial maps and applications', *Math. Zeit.*, **272** (2012), 825–837.
[10] J. Cilleruelo and I. E. Shparlinski, 'Concentration of points on curves in finite fields', *Monatsh. Math.*, **171** (2013), 315–327.
[11] J. Cipra, 'Waring's number in a finite field', *Integers*, **9** (2009), 435–440.
[12] J. Cipra, T. Cochrane and C. G. Pinner, 'Heilbronn's Conjecture on Waring's number mod p', *J. Number Theory*, **125** (2007), 289–297.
[13] T. Cochrane and C. Pinner, 'Sum-product estimates applied to Waring's problem mod p', *Integers*, **8** (2008), A46, 1–18.
[14] H. Delange, 'Sur les fonctions q-additives ou q-multiplicatives', *Acta Arith.*, **21** (1972), 285–298.
[15] M. Drmota, 'The joint distribution of q-additive functions', *Acta Arith.*, **100** (2001), no. 1, 17–39.
[16] A. O. Gelfond, 'Sur les nombres qui ont des propriétés additives et multiplicatives données, *Acta Arith.*, **13** (1967/1968), 259–265.
[17] P. J. Grabner, 'Completely q-multiplicative functions: the Mellin transform approach', *Acta Arith.*, **65** (1993), 85–96.
[18] J. Gutierrez and I. E. Shparlinski, 'Expansion of orbits of some dynamical systems over finite fields', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **82** (2010), 232–239.
[19] R. Hofer, G. Larcher and F. Pillichshammer, 'Average growth-behavior and distribution properties of generalized weighted digit-block-counting functions', *Monatsh. Math.*, **154** (2008), 99–230.
[20] I. Kátai and M. V. Subbarao, 'Distribution of additive and q-additive functions under some conditions', *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **64** (2004), 167–187.
[21] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, 1997. Finite fields, second ed, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
[22] O. Roche-Newton and I. E. Shparlinski, 'Polynomial values in subfields and affine subspaces of finite fields', *Quart. J. Math.*, (to appear).
[23] J. H. Silverman and B. Viray, 'On a uniform bound for the number of exceptional linear subvarieties in the dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture', *Math. Res. Letters.*, **20** (2013), 547–566.
[24] H. Weyl, 'Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod Eins', *Math. Ann.*, **77** (1916), 313–352.
[25] A. Winterhof and C. van de Woestijne, 'Exact solutions to Waring's problem in finite fields', *Acta Arith.*, **141** (2010), 171–190.
[26] T. D. Wooley, 'Vinogradov's mean value theorem via efficient congruencing, II', *Duke Math. J.*, **162** (2013), 673–730.
[27] T. D. Wooley, 'Translation invariance, exponential sums, and Waring's problem', *Proc. Intern. Congress of Math., Seoul, 2014*, (to appear), `http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.3508`.
[28] T. D. Wooley, 'Multigrade efficient congruencing and Vinogradovs mean value theorem', *Preprint*, 2013, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.8447`.

[29] B. Wu and Z. Liu, 'Linearized polynomials over finite fields revisited', *Finite Fields Appl.*, **22** (2013), 79–100.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia
E-mail address: alina.ostafe@unsw.edu.au